ملاحظات طلب المخطط الهندسي النهائي As-Built

لم يتم اطلاقه بسبب عدم اكمال الملاحظات المقدمة من المستفيدين:

١. انشاء خطوة تأكد للتأكد من عمل الملفات عند استلامها من المستثمر
٢. عرض نتيجة الخطأ في تقرير في حال فشل تحميل ملفات الاتوكاد
٣. ربط المرافق (utilities) مع بعضها على الخريطة في حال تحميل الرفق من اكثر من ملف اتوكاد

ملاحظات البورتل Web Portal:

١. عدم عرض أسماء ملاك الأرضي السكنية وجميع الأسماء الشخصية في جميع الطبقات
٢. عدم تضمين مساحة قطع الاراضي داخل البيانات الوصفية
٣. في الحقول التي تحتوي على كود مربوطة مع جداول اخرى (lookup table)، يجب عرض القيمة الحقيقة وليس الكود (مثال عليه حقل نوع الاستخدام حيث يتم عرض رقم وليس النوع)
٤. عملية البحث غير واضحة، يجب تحديد او ذكر الحقوق التي يتم البحث من خلالها وفي بعض الأحيان لا تعطي نتيجة
٥. البحث بالاسم الجغرافي غير واضح وغير دقيق
٦. إيجاد طريقة لتسهيل استخدام أدوات التحليل للموظفين غير المختصين في نزم المعلومات الجغرافية، حيث ان استخدامها يتطلب معرفة بأسماء الطبقات والحقول
٧. توفير صور الأقمار الصناعية لراس الخير بدقة كبيرة، حيث ان الصورة الموجود ليست عالية الدقة
٨. تصميم الطباعة غير متوافق مع ما تم الاتفاق عليه
٩. نظام الاحداثيات المعروض على الخريطة غير معروف، يجب استخدام نظام احداثيات معروف
١٠. إضافة شرح مساعد على الأدوات لمعرفة ما هي الأداة، إضافة دليل الاستخدام حتى يتمكن المستخدم من الرجوع اليه
١١. الكود الخاص بالبرنامج، لم يتم تسليم الكود الخاص بالبرنامج
١٢. أداء البرنامج وسرعة التحميل: البرنامج غير ثابت الأداء وتتعطل بعض الوظائف بشكل مفاجئ كما في بعض الأحيان يحتاج لوقت طويل للتحميل
١٣. API خاص بالويب portal , لتمكيننا من ربط الويب portal مع تطبيقات الخاصة بالهيئة المكية (من دون هذه النقطة لن نتمكن من الربط مع أي تطبيق اخر)
١٤. الطباعة: النموذج المستخدم غير متوافق مع ما تم طلبه، قائمة الطبقات غير متوافقة مع البيانات المعروضة على الخارطة
١٥. أدوات القياس: تعرض الاحداثيات بنظام اخر غير النظام العالمي (UTM)، لذلك يجب تحويلها للنظام العالمي
١٦. تصميم الواجهة الخاصة بالبرنامج، حيث لا يمكن إضافة او تعديل على أي عنصر (مثال عليه زر اللغة غير مربوط مع الويب portal)
١٧. في شاشة التحليل، لا نستطيع الوصول الى النتيجة على الخارطة عن الضغط عليها
١٨. تم استلام بعض الوثائق الفنية الخاصة بالتطبيقات وبعد مراجعتها تبين انها غير مكتملة، تم الرد على البريد الالكتروني المرسل من قبل مدير المشروع ولما يصلنا أي تحديث حتى اللحظة.

الملاحظات على تطبيق الجوال:

Item No.	Doc Type	Doc Ref.	RC Reviewer Comments	Atheeb Response
1	General	App Installation	The app install recently is NOT working properly in our devices. Starting from installation point, still team member struggling with having the application to be install in their devices. Even though two of the member have the app installed, they could not perform any practice efficiently as well as its notice crashed and close the session frequently. Finally, the GIS team members could not work with the app because of lake of task practice efficiency.	**Issue came with android 11 only, AISC will check and provide fixing**
2	RFB	Section 5.1 - Page 16 - Point 12	As per endorsed in RFB "Mobile ESDIM services to public and to corporate users.", so the mobile app shall provide service for RC related and general public which is NOT compel with the lastly product demonstrated.	**Changed from (Guest and RC Users) to RCJ users only based on IT Request.**
3	RFB	Section 5.3 - Page 20 -	As per mentioned in RFB " Mobile application should be used to display specific attributes and should read/write asset (attributes) information with 3G/4G connection based on web services." , the attribute nature to be editable vie the mobile app has been emphasized. From first stage, adding, change and delete of attribute data have been required.	**In phase 2 only read attributes/feature implemented according to the approved BRD, editing is not available in phase2, in the current stage, update data can be done using GeoMedia Smart Client app.**
4	MEETING 9/12	GENERAL	The front page for access provide only access for RC employee	**Same point number 2, it is done as per RCJ IT Request.**
5	MEETING 9/12	GENERAL	Difficulties' noticed while layer display, zoom and representation.	**With more using mobile app by the users, it will go through many enhancements which can applied during the support period**
6	MEETING 9/12	GENERAL	Regarding context of the Mobile app demo, which presented in the meeting, AISC presenter identified that the Mobile supposed to serve 4 GIS elements such as LAR, Zoning Regulation, Parcel and Agreement. Unlikely, all the Mobile app demo presentation was for only LAR related	**Due to time constraints, only LAR comprehensive features shows in presentation. However, mobile app also have Parcel, Lease**

			NOT else. Never to be mentioned, the other elements of the Mobile app were unintentionally neglected.	**agreement, and zone regulation working functionality.**
7	MEETING 9/12	GENERAL	As per the presented, search LAR is only for Directors. Actually, LAR searching could be by stuff rather that Director. Moreover, its essential procedure that all RC related stuff or executive must do for their further double checking, monitoring, taking prior decision and final approval.	**In phase 2 actions for only director, as per RCJ IT request.**
8	MEETING 9/12	GENERAL	As per presentation and the presenter feedback, the provided mobile app could NOT be able to provide LAR type such as approve, pre-approve, under processing and cancel. Subsequently, the LAR info that will be viewing in the mobile is a raw data that doesn't present any reasonable information for LAR viewing. As result, viewing LAR would be considered NOT applied.	**When using production server all features and workflow will be fully functional, but for presentation mobile app connected with RCJ test server, which don't have proper data according to workflow and is a limited resources access.**
9	UTA	COMMENT	UPD team have consolidated and clarified that the need of comprehensive development of the mobile application in terms of query and search, BUT till moment of the mobile app presentation occurred NO improvement's sign noticed. In fact, Contractor didn't show any evidence of addressing that vital point mentioned by GIS team.	**Search is properly implemented for LAR as per commitment with RCJ IT. For Parcel, zone, lease agreement simple one column search**
10	UTA	COMMENT	Essentially, Mobile app provided didn't provide supporting GPS which requested.	**GPS features implemented according to BRD.**
11	UTA	COMMENT	As per agreed in comment 3 that requested adding google map in the viewer of app, noncompliance for that request ;which been agreed with, has been noticed.	**Google map is paid, but Open Street Map layer is free and implemented according to RC IT request and approved BRD.**
12	UTA	COMMENT	UPD team have requested enhancing the Mobile app interface, BUT this point likewise the other points did NOT applied.	**there is no specific request, and all the design and color scheme implemented according to RCJ IT team instructions.**

13	UTA	COMMENT	Basically, Its been agreed that viewing all layer effeminately is most substantial element shall perform in the Mobile app. As matter of facts that all layer viewing properly this app failure to meet the basic requirement.	**Layers can be add and remove through configuration file easily.**
14	UTA	COMMENT	Generally, the ability of adding and deleting data have been addressed strongly to be apply in the Mobile app. Likewise, the RFB has shied slide on data read/ write vie the Mobile app. Notably, the ability for data editing is NOT available as well as not will be available.	**Same point# 3.**
15	UTA	COMMENT	LAKE of data representation noticed previously, and the representation remains poor for even first version.	**Same point# 8.**
16	UTA	COMMENT	Although the mobile app developed in English language vie English code, UPD as well as RC mandatory request to have all applications supported the Arabic language the same as could be demonstrating in English. In addition, the Mobile app must support Arabic in Mobile app elements, data representation and dealing with Arabic text; for instance, search and query.	**In Phase 2 only English search available. but application support both English and Arabic version/label.**
17	UTA	COMMENT	The Mobile app must have name title priory. Previously, UPD team has had addressed giving name title for the Mobile app would be more supportive. Which notice recently that this point NOT applied.	**Ok, title can be change as per RC suggestion.**
18	BRD	Section 7.1 - Search - Page16- FR-M-2.1	From first stage, search is being determine, as vial function that must be exist in the Mobile app. However, the search have been addressed to be in advanced way where have ;for instance drop list, or to have criteria for search with Request number (e.g. LAR number), Request type (e.g. LAR), Beneficiary, Revision, Extension, Expiry date, Status and Department. So, the aforementioned advanced search NOT applied.	**In phase 2 search is provided as per BRD, if we write in search field, below table data is filter accordingly. user can search by LAR number, type, beneficiary etc.**
19	BRD	Section 7 - Process & Workflow- support - Page17- FR-M-3.5	Obviously, BRD of MOBILE APPLICATION have taking into consideration of some of the procedure as mentioned " FR-M-3.5: The app shall offer the functionality to approve or reject a request from the detail view on the mobile device " . On other hand, the Mobile app developed does NOT prepared to accommodate any procedures workflow. UPD believes that its crucial to have procedure in the Mobile app. Otherwise, the Mobile app with NO procedures, functions and tools is useless.	**Same point# 7.**
٢٠	IT	COMMENT	Integration with Active directory and RCJY EDMS	